

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,713	02/25/2002	Alan Colman	10758.105012 CON REV1002	9155	
20786 KING & SPAL	7590 03/21/2007 DING LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1180 PEACHT			TON, THAIAN N		
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/080,713	COLMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thaian N. Ton	1632	

before the filling of all Appeal bilet	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thaian N. Ton	1632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, not reply reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2 ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 December 2006. △	brief in compliance with 37 CER 41	1 37 must he filed with	hin two months				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 December 2006. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		I E below);					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducina or simplifyina	the issues for				
appeal; and/or		-					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant / monamont	(1.02.02.1).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ wi	II he entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		go omorod arra arr					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 62,63,65,66,70-73,75-79,82,87-90,99,	100 102-110 113 118-125 131 and	133					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	700, 102 170, 170, 170 120, 101 410	<u> 700</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		theiren	tan				
		THAIAN N. T					
		PATENT EXAM					

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants have not provided any substantive remarks or arguments with regard to the prior rejections of record. Accordingly, the prior rejection of record is maintained.

Claims 62, 63, 65, 66, 70-73, 75-79, 82, 87-90, 99, 100, 102-110, 113, 118-125, 131, 133 stand rejected under 112, 1st paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The prior rejection of record is maintained for reasons of record, advanced on pages 2-14 of the Office action, mailed 6/16/06.